

Patent and frademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

> EXAMINER den ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Paden	(3)				
(2) Crawford	(4)				
Date of Interview 2 - & - 02	-				
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Rersonal (copy is	s given to applicant Applicant's representative).				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:					
<u> </u>					
Agreement was reached. Was not reached.					
Claim(s) discussed: All generally					
Identification of prior art discussed: all relied on					
	nt was reached, or any other comments:				
agreement was reached	to droppe drop the				
rejections under 35 USCI	12 feest paragraph in				
response to applicants are	ments in Paper 23, Examina				
to further consider the remain	ung chains in light of the				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if a	vailable, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable uld render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be				
$\hfill\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the cont IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE II action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Caroly Pade

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)

IN TED STO IS DISPARAMENT OF COMMERCIA

Manual of Pat int Examining Procedure, Secti in 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the Interview.

DECEMBER 1	949CTIA	WANTED ST PLICE OF	1.133 Interviews	10400191	asers, a	
(b) In every instance whinterview as warranting favo and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)	orable action must be <u>fil</u> e	equested in view of an ed by the applicant. An	interview with an exami interview does not remo	ner, a complete writte ove the necessity for r	en statement of the rea reply to Office action a	isons presented at the s specified in §§ 1.111
§ 1.2. Business to be applicants or their attorneys on the written record in the	s or agents at the Paten	t and Trademark Office	is unnecessary. The-ac	tion of the Patent and	d Trademark Office wil	Il be based exclusively
FR SR NUMBER	I RSO TRA	2		•	•	1
The action of the Paten to record the substance of i	t and Trademark Office	cannot be based exclus	sively on the written reco	rd In the Office if that	record is itself Incomp	lete through the failure
It is the responsibility of he or she will do so. It is the	the applicant or the atto e examiner's responsibi	mey or agent to make t	he substance of an inter record is made and to co	view of record in the a prrect material inaccu	application file, unless racies which bear dire	the examiner indicates ctly on the question of
patentability.	1967年4年7月1日	· 阿内拉	MYERVIEW, SUM	Č.		
been discussed during the i only procedural matters, dir Examining Procedure, poin the agreement are exclude	nterview by checking the ected solely to restrictio ting out typographical er d from the Interview rec	e appropriate boxes an n requirements for which rrors or unreadable scri ordation procedures be	th interview recordation of the property of the orthogonal of the property of the orthogonal orthogonal of the orthogonal of the orthogonal of the orthogona	eat handwritten form is otherwise provided e ilke, or resulting in a	using a ball point pen. for in Section 812.01 in examiner's amendn	Discussions regarding of the Manual of Patent nent that fully sets forth
The Interview Summar wrapper. In a personal Inte case of a telephonic intervi	rview, the duplicate cop	y of the Form is remove	mber, placed in the right ed and given to the appli spondence address eithe	cant (or attorney or ag	gent) at the conclusion	of the interview. In the ation.
The Form provides for	recordation of the follow	ing information:	part to it there is also the second of the s	and a secondarian and an analysis and		Jake of Interview
-Application Number of -Name of applicant -Name of examiner		s % adamyar (onal Poupy is given to	•	,	
 Date of interview Type of interview (per 	rsonal or telephonic)		n the year bide taken in	edit i Li Yeori i Thio	ners iredon conduct	tsa refje e ja dalate
 An indication whether An identification of the 		hown or a demonstration	on conducted	- hadasai ta	c. 2005 Charestan	asuC mamsono
 An indication whether of amendments or cla contrary.) 	ims agreed as being all	ched and if so, a descr owable). (Agreements	iption of the general nat as to allowability are ter	ure of the agreement tative and do not res	(may be by attachme trict further action by t	nt of a copy
Names of other Pater		personnei present		<u>All nations</u>		dentification of prior
The Form also contains	s a statement reminding	the applicant of his re	sponsibility to record the	substance of the inte	erview.	ataurina promonento pero es es esta
It is desireable that the examiner agree that the ex Form or in an attachment to submitting a separate rece	caminer will record same the Form, the examine	e. Where the examiner er should check a box a	it the bottom of the Form	bstance of the intervi	ew, or when it is adec	fuately recorded on the upplement the Form by
It should be noted, however or is supplemented by the	er, that the Interview Sun applicant or the examin	nmary Form with not no er to include, all of the	rmally be considered a c applicable items require	complete and proper of the below concerning t	ecordation of the Inter he substance of the in	view unless it includes
A complete and proper	recordation of the subs	tance of any interview	should include at Jeast t	he following applicab	le items:	المراجع
an identification of the same of the	he claims discussed, pecific priocart discuss he priocipal proposed a	ed, omogo		unless these are aire	ady described on the bris years need unents is sufficient if	Interview Summary
or thrust of the princip emphasize and fully (6) a general indication 7) if appropriate, the g	pal arguments made to describe those argumer of any other pertinent re eneral results or outcome	the examiner can be ui its which he feels were natters discussed, and ie of the interview unle	or might be persuasive ss already described in	to the examiner, of the examiner, or the examiner, or of the examiner, or of the examiner the interview Summa	of the state of the spirit	the examiner
Examiners are expected to applicant one month from	carefully review the app the date of the notifying	illeant's record of the si letter to complete the	ibstance of an Interview reply and thereby avoid	If the record is not co abandonment of the	application (37 CFR 1	ie examiner Will give the 135(c):):- 28 - 107 HT ROBONDE OF THE
		Examin	er to Check for Accurac	у		w.

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.